

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/716,622	11/20/2003	Akira Watanabe	Y2238.0054	6336
32172 DICKSTEIN S	7590 11/17/200 SHAPIRO LLP	EXAMINER		
1633 Broadwa	у	HOTELLING, HAROLD A		
NEW YORK,	NY 10019		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2164	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/716,622	WATANABE, AKIRA	
Examiner	Art Unit	
HAROLD A. HOTELLING	2164	

	HAROLD A. HOTELLING	2164							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED 30 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.							
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (2) a Notice of Application (3) and (4) application (4) appli	ply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this tition, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affided, or other evidence, which places that too in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request thinued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time s:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire it</li> </ul>	period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  miner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee and see been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensions fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	s of the date of						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS									
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a)</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b)</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c)</li> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul> </li> </ol>									
								(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).									
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)									
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alnon-allowable claim(s).		•							
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of						
Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 4 - 9, 12 - 17</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
D. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons wity it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 430(11).									
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.									
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).									
13. <b>Other:</b>									
/Charles Rones/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2164	/H. A. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 2164								

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The applicant argues on page 7: "Li, discussed in previous responses, contains no teaching that header information of a packet is divided into a plurality of information area areas and each information area is searched across each conditional statement structured as a binary search tree separately. Moreover, Li does not

teach that search results of all information areas are aggregated and the aggregated search result is searched using a Hash method."
The examiner disagrees because the applicant does not support his arguments with factually supported objective evidence.
See MPEP 2145 "Consideration of Applicant's Rebuttal Arguments"):

o "If a prima facie case of obviousness is established, the burden shifts to the applicant to come forward with arguments and/or evidence to rebut the prima facie case. See, e.g., In re Dillon, 919 F.2d 688, 692, 16 USPQ2d 1897, 1901 (Fed. Cir. 1990)."

o "arguments of counsel cannot take the place of factually supported objective evidence. See, e.g., in re Huang, 100 F.3d 135, 139-40, 40 USPQ2d 1685, 1689 (Fed. Cir. 1996); in re De Blauwe, 736 F.2d 699, 705, 222 USPQ 191, 196 (Fed. Cir. 1984)."